2008 - 2011 SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS PUBLIC LAW 110-343 TITLE II PROJECT SUBMISSION FORM USDA FOREST SERVICE

Name of Resource Advisory Committee: Project Number (Assigned by Designated Federal Official): Funding Fiscal Year(s):

2. Project Name: Wildfire Community Protection Water Sources	3a. State: Arizona 3b. County(s): Cochise
4. Project Submitted By: Ruben Morales	5. Date: 1/3/2011
6. Contact Phone Number: 520-364-6813	7. Contact E-mail: rmorales@fs.fed.us

8. Project Location: District wide communities at risk			
a. National Forest(s): Coronado N. F.	b. Forest Service District: Douglas R. D.		
c. Location (Township-Range-Section)			

9. Project Goals and Objectives:

Provide water sources for initial attack resources where acquiring water for fire suppression activities is problematic.

10. Project Description:

a. Brief: (in one sentence)

Construction of concrete based / metal sided tanks that can be used for water sources by initial attack resources in close proximity to communities at risk.

b. Detailed:

A contractor will be utilized to construct concrete based / metal sided holding tanks where water will be stored for the use during initial attack fire suppression operations. Sites selected are areas where insufficient water sources exist for initial attack of wild fires and are in close proximity to the wildland urban interface. Sites selected will be inclose proximity to the communities if Portal, Cochise Stronghold and Pinery Canyon where initial attack concerns exist. Wells or springs exist in the chosen locations that can be used for filling and storing water in the proposed tanks. The success of fire suppression operation will be greatly enhanced with the availability of the water resources in area where structures and other values at risk exist in communities that border National Forest lands.

11. Types of Lands Involved?	
State/Private/Other lands involved? Yes Land Status:	⊠No
If Yes, specify:	

12. How does the proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? (Check at least 1)

Version: September 2009

☐ Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure.					
☐ Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems.					
Restores and improves land health.					
Restores water quality					
a. Check all that apply: (check at least 1)					
Road Maintenance	☐ Trail Maintenance				
Road Decommission/Obliteration	☐ Trail Obliteration				
Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify):					
Soil Productivity Improvement	☐ Forest Health Improvement				
☐ Watershed Restoration & Maintenance ☐ Wildlife Habitat Restoration					
☐ Fish Habitat Restoration ☐ Control of Noxious Weeds					
Reestablish Native Species	☐ Fuels Management/Fire Prevention				
☐ Implement CWPP Project ☐ Other Project Type (specify):					
b. Primary Purpose (select only 1):					
14 Identify What the Duniest Will Assessment					
14. Identify What the Project Will Accomp	Jusu				
Miles of road decommissioned/obliterated:					
Number of structures maintained/improved: A cros of soil productivity improved:					
Acres of soil productivity improved:					
Miles of stream/river restored/improved: Miles of fish habitat restored/improved:					
Acres of native species reestablished:					
Acres of hazardous fuel treatment					
Miles of trail maintained:					
Miles of trial obliterated:					
Acres of forest health improved (including fuels reduction):					
Acres of rangeland improved:					
Acres of wildlife habitat restored/improved:					

Version: April 2009

A f i 11 . 1.					
Acres of noxious weeds controlled:					
Timber volume generated (mbf):					
Jobs generated in full time equivalents (FTE) to no	earest tenth. One FTE is 52 forty hour weeks:				
People reached (for environmental education projection)	ects/fire prevention):				
Direct economic activity benefit:					
Other: Fire protection					
15. Estimated Project Start Date: June 1, 2011	16. Estimated Project Completion Date: Sept. 30, 2011				
expressed great interest in the project and have assisted in plans for the project. 18. Identify benefits to communities. Water sources for initial attack resources will alleviate concerns and availability of water to help ensure success of initial attack of suppression fires close to these communities where water sources are critical in successful operations. 19. How does the project benefit federal lands/resources? They will provide water sources for initial attack operations where time is critical. 20. What is the Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment? (check at least 1)					
☐ Federal Workforce					
County Workforce	Volunteers				
Grant	Agreement				
☐ Americorps	☐ YCC/CCC Crews				
☐ Job Corps	Stewardship Contract				
☐ Merchantable Timber Pilot ☐ Other (specify):					
21. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Timber?					
22. Anticipated Project Costs					
a. Title II Funds Requested: \$45,000					
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? Yes No					
23. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding:					

Forest Service will match with funds, labor and material. Fire Management personnel on the Douglas Ranger District will provide contract administration and provide materials and labor for plumbing to water source.

24. Monitoring Plan (provide as attachment)

a. Provide a plan that describes your process for tracking and explaining the effects of this project on your environmental and community goals outlined above. The water sources will have

Version: April 2009

critical water for initial attack resources for the protection of communities in the wildland urban interface.

b. Identify who will conduct the monitoring:

Fire Management personnel on the Douglas Ranger District

c. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Worksheet 1, Item k):

25.	Identify	y remedies	for failu	re to comp	oly with	the terms	of the ag	reement.

If project cannot be completed under the terms of this agreement:	
☑ Unused funds will be returned to the RAC account.	
Other, please explain:	

Project Recommended By:

/s/ (INSERT Signature)
Chairperson

Resource Advisory Committee

Project Approved By:

/s/ (INSERT Signature)
Forest Supervisor

National Forest

Version: April 2009

Project Cost Analysis Worksheet

Worksheet 1

Please submit this worksheet with your proposal

Item	Column A Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution	Column B Requested Title II Contribution	Column C Other Contributions	Column D Total Available Funds
a. Field Work & Site Surveys	\$5000			
b. NEPA/CEQA	\$5000			
c. ESA Consultation				
d. Permit Acquisition				
e. Project Design & Engineering	\$3000			
f. Contract/Grant Preparation	\$1500			
g. Contract/Grant Administration	\$3000			
h. Contract/Grant Cost		\$45,000		
i. Salaries	\$5000			
j. Materials & Supplies	\$2000			
k. Monitoring	\$2000			
 Other Maintenance and repair/ for duration Partner Indirect Cost 	\$1500			
m. Project Sub-Total	\$29,000	\$45,000		
n. FS Indirect Costs				
Total Cost Estimate	\$29,000	\$45,000		

NOTES:

- a. Pre-NEPA Costs
- g. Includes Contracting/Grant Officer Representative (COR) costs. Excludes Contracting/Grant Officer costs.
- i. Cost of implementing project
- 1. Examples include overhead charges from other partners, vehicles, equipment rentals, travel, etc.
- $\ensuremath{\text{n.}}$ Forest Service indirect costs, including contracting/grant officer costs if needed.

Version: April 2009 5